Enhanced cognitive behaviour therapy for adolescents with eating disorders: A systematic review of current status and future perspectives
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Abstract

This systematic review analysed published data on the effectiveness of enhanced cognitive behaviour therapy (CBT-E) for adolescents with eating disorders. Of the 28 papers retrieved, eight (6 case series, 1 prospective cohort study, and 1 non-randomized effectiveness trial) met the inclusion criteria and revealed the following five findings. First, outpatient CBT-E is well accepted by adolescent patients with anorexia nervosa; it is completed by about two-thirds of participants, and produces improvements in eating-disorder and general psychopathology. Remission from anorexia nervosa is achieved by about 50% of patients at 12-month follow-up. Second, outpatient CBT-E seems more effective for adolescents than adults. Third, inpatient CBT-E for adolescents with anorexia nervosa seems particularly effective — about 80% of patients achieve normal weight by 12-month follow-up. Fourth, CBT-E also seems promising for adolescents who are not underweight. Fifth, CBT-E appears to yield similar outcomes to FBT in terms of weight regain and eating-disorder and general psychopathology improvements at 6- and 12-month follow-up. The fourth and fifth findings derive from a single study and require confirmation. In conclusion, CBT-E is a viable and promising treatment for adolescents with eating disorders.
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Introduction

Eating disorders have a marked negative effect on the physical health and psychosocial functioning of adolescents and their families (American Psychiatric Association, 2013). They are strongly associated with other mental disorders, role impairment, and suicidality (Swanson, Crow, Le Grange, Swendsen, & Merikangas, 2011). Therefore, they must be treated early and effectively (Flynn et al., 2020) to prevent long-lasting adverse, sometimes devastating, effects (Wonderlich, Bulik, Schmidt, Steiger, & Hoek, 2020).

The outcomes of eating disorder treatments for adolescents remain modest, although some promising treatments for this population have emerged. A specific form of family therapy, termed ‘Family-Based Treatment’ (FBT) (Lock & Le Grange, 2013), also known as the ‘Maudsley method/Maudsley approach’, has been evaluated in several randomized control trials (RCTs) on patients with anorexia nervosa (Le Grange et al., 2016; Lock et al., 2010) and bulimia nervosa (Le Grange, Crosby, Rathouz, & Leventhal, 2007; Le Grange, Lock, Agras, Bryson, & Jo, 2015). The outcome data from these trials indicate that less than 40% of adolescen-
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ts with anorexia nervosa and less than 50% of those with bulimia nervosa treated via FBT achieve remission (Dalle Grave, Eckhardt, Calugi, & Le Grange, 2019). Moreover, when it comes to its clinical application, FBT is labour-intensive and unacceptable to some families and patients, because it requires parents to participate in sessions and control their child’s eating. These data indicate the need to find alternative treatment approaches (Lock, 2011).

According to the most recent guidelines by the National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence (NICE), enhanced cognitive behaviour therapy (CBT-E) for adolescents is considered the most valid alternative to FBT, and has been recommended for children or young people with anorexia nervosa or bulimia nervosa when FBT is unacceptable, contraindicated, or ineffective (National Guideline Alliance, 2017). This recommendation has been based on the promising results obtained by some recent case series that assessed the effectiveness of CBT-E in adolescents with anorexia nervosa (Calugi, Dalle Grave, Sartirana, & Fairburn, 2015; Dalle Grave, Calugi, Doll, & Fairburn, 2013) and not-underweight eating disorders (Dalle Grave, Calugi, Sartirana, & Fairburn, 2015).

CBT-E for adolescents has been described in detail in a dedicated manual (Dalle Grave & Calugi, 2020). In brief, CBT-E is a collaborative individual psychological treatment that addresses in a flexible and personalized way the eating-disorder psychopathology operating in the individual patient, rather than that specific to a particular DSM-5 eating-disorder diagnosis (Dalle Grave, Sartirana, Sermatteei, & Calugi, 2020). The treatment never uses ‘prescriptive’ or ‘coercive’ procedures, and never asks the young person to do anything that they do not agree to do. Patients are always actively involved in all phases of CBT-E, from the decision to start treatment to the strategies used to address weight regain, dietary restraint, the overvaluation of shape and weight, and other features of their eating disorder. The treatment is delivered in three steps. Step One gives patients the opportunity to think afresh about their eating problem and the processes maintaining it. This is followed by a detailed analysis of the pros and cons of tackling their eating disorder, including weight regain, if indicated. If patients do not conclude that they have a problem to address, the treatment is interrupted, but this is a rare occurrence. Step Two has the goal of helping patients regain weight and address their individual eating-disorder psychopathology (overvaluation of shape, weight and eating control, dietary restraint and restriction, binge-eating and purging episodes, excessive exercising) and the processes maintaining it. Step Three has the aim of bringing treatment to a conclusion and enabling patients to develop specific skills for preventing relapse.

In underweight patients, the treatment involves 40 sessions over 9–12 months, while in those who are not underweight the treatment is delivered in 20 outpatient sessions over 6 months. Treatment will also include one session with the parents alone, and several brief sessions with the patient and parents together immediately after individual sessions. These sessions aim to help the parents to create a home environment conducive to the patient’s attempts to change. However, the nature and extent of parental assistance is always agreed to by the patient and therapist beforehand.

CBT-E has been also adapted for delivery in intensive settings of care, such as day-hospital and inpatient units (Dalle Grave, 2012; Dalle Grave, Bohn, Hawker, & Fairburn, 2008). Inpatient CBT-E maintains all of the main strategies and procedures of CBT-E, which are delivered in both individual sessions and a group format. Rather than a single therapist, the treatment is delivered by a multidisciplinary team comprising physicians, psychologists, dietitians, and nurses, all fully trained in CBT-E. Assistance with eating is provided in the first weeks of inpatient treatment to help patients get over their difficulties in real time.

A unified treatment like CBT-E, which has been designed to treat all the eating-disorder diagnostic categories in both adults and adolescents across the spectrum of care (Dalle Grave, Sartirana, et al., 2020), could potentially overcome several of the problems encountered by fragmented clinical services, which offer conceptually different treatments for adolescents and adults in different care settings. Without a unified approach, which is the case in the vast majority of clinical services, patients and their families are often obliged to change the nature of treatment when the adolescent is moved to an adult service on reaching their age of majority or an inpatient treatment when indicated. Such radical changes in the nature of the treatment may disrupt care pathways and confuse patients as to the strategies and procedures they should adopt to overcome their eating disorder. In contrast, a unified treatment like CBT-E has the potential to help patients to be moved seamlessly from adolescent to adult services and through different levels of care without changing the nature of the treatment itself (Dalle Grave, Sartirana, et al., 2020).

After the NICE guidelines recommended CBT-E for adolescents with anorexia nervosa, several studies assessing the effectiveness of this treatment have been published. However, the published literature on this topic has not yet undergone systematic review and we, therefore, set out to conduct one.
The literature was assessed in accordance with the PICO process (Richardson, Wilson, Nishikawa, & Hayward, 1995) (as far as we know for the first time) as follows: P, population—female and male adolescents who met the DSM-5 diagnostic criteria for eating disorder (anorexia nervosa, bulimia nervosa, binge-eating disorder or other specified and unspecified eating disorder) and had an age range or mean age of between 10 and 19 years at baseline; I, intervention—CBT-E for eating disorders; C, comparison—before and after treatment and follow-ups; O, outcome—change in body weight and eating-disorder and general psychopathology, however expressed.

Methods

The review was conducted in conformity to the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Review and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines (Liberati et al., 2009).

Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

All studies evaluating CBT-E in adolescents with eating disorders were included if they met the following criteria: (i) papers written in English reporting human data; (ii) the sample met standard criteria for the definition of anorexia nervosa, bulimia nervosa, binge-eating disorder or other specified and unspecified eating disorder; (iii) original articles on studies with longitudinal design; and (iv) prospective observational, experimental, or quasi-experimental controlled or non-controlled studies documenting CBT-E outcome in adolescent patients with anorexia nervosa, bulimia nervosa, binge-eating disorder or other specified and underspecified eating disorder. The following criteria were grounds for exclusion: (i) reviews or non-original articles (i.e., case reports, editorials, Letters to the Editor, or book chapters); and (ii) cross-sectional studies.

Information Source and Search Strategy


In addition, a manual free text search of the above terms was also carried out to retrieve other articles that had not been identified via the initial search strategy, and the references lists of all articles were carefully checked to ensure that all relevant studies had been identified. Publication date was not considered an exclusion criterion for the purposes of this review.

Data Collection Process and Data Items

First, the two authors carried out independent assessments of the title and abstract of each paper for the suitability of language and relevance of subject matter. The papers selected in this manner were then examined for their appropriateness for inclusion and method quality. The first author, year of publication, study design, sample size and setting, age, baseline body mass index (BMI)-for-age percentile, presence of follow-up and maximum duration of follow-up, end of treatment outcome and follow-up outcome of each are reported in Table 1.

Study Selection

The National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) guidelines checklist was used for quality appraisal of studies (National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence), in which a total score of 0–3 indicates poor quality; between 4 and 6 fair quality; and ≥7 good quality.

Data Synthesis

Due to the lack of RCTs among the resulting studies, a meta-analysis could not be performed. Therefore, all studies that met the inclusion criteria have been presented in a narrative synthesis (Popay et al., 2005).

Results

The initial search retrieved 28 papers. After screening, seven papers were excluded for being an inappropriate type of article (i.e., reviews, case reports). Moreover, eight papers
Table 1. Studies included in the systematic review

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>First author (year)</th>
<th>Study design</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Baseline BMI-for-age percentile</th>
<th>Follow-up</th>
<th>End of treatment outcome</th>
<th>Last follow-up outcome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dalle Grave (2013)</td>
<td>Case series</td>
<td>n=46</td>
<td>Mean=15.5 years (SD=1.3, range 13–17 years)</td>
<td>Mean=2.86 (SD=3.35)</td>
<td>Yes, 60 weeks (n=29)</td>
<td>Mean BMI-for-age percentile 30.3 (SD=16.7) 96.6% of completers had minimal residual eating-disorder psychopathology*</td>
<td>Mean BMI-for-age percentile 35.1 (26.0) 89.7% of completers had minimal residual eating-disorder psychopathology*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dalle Grave (2014)</td>
<td>Case series</td>
<td>n=27 inpatients; n=29 completers</td>
<td>Mean=16 years (SD=1.1, range 13–17 years)</td>
<td>Mean=2.7 (SD=4.3)</td>
<td>Yes, 6 months (n=22) and 12 months (n=23)</td>
<td>Mean BMI-for-age percentile 35.5 (SD=14.5) 96.2% achieved a BMI centile corresponding to BMI=18.5 38.5% of completers had minimal residual eating disorder psychopathology**</td>
<td>Mean BMI-for-age percentile 29.5 (SD=19.6) 82.6% had a BMI centile corresponding to BMI=18.5 60.9% of completers had minimal residual eating-disorder psychopathology**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dalle Grave (2015)</td>
<td>Case series</td>
<td>n=68</td>
<td>Mean=16.5 years (SD=1.7, range 13–19 years)</td>
<td>Mean=42.9 (SD=22.2)</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Mean BMI-for-age percentile 44.1 (SD=20.7) 82.4% of completers had minimal residual eating-disorder psychopathology*</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calugi (2015)</td>
<td>Prospective cohort study</td>
<td>Adolescents: n=46 outpatients; n=29 completers</td>
<td>Adolescents: Mean= 15.5 (SD=1.3)</td>
<td>Adolescents: Mean=2.96 (SD=3.35)</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>---</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calugi (2019)</td>
<td>Case series</td>
<td>n=62</td>
<td>Mean=16.4 years (SD=1.2, range 13–18 years)</td>
<td>Mean=1.8 (SD=3.5)</td>
<td>Yes, 6 months (n=47) and 12 months (n=46)</td>
<td>Mean BMI-for-age percentile 33.04 96.4% reached a BMI-for-age percentile corresponding to the normal weight cut-off (i.e., BMI 18.5) at age 18 All psychological outcome measures significantly decreased</td>
<td>Mean BMI-for-age percentile 29.44 80.4% reached a BMI-for-age percentile corresponding to the normal weight cut-off (i.e., 18.5) at age 18 All psychological outcome measures significantly decreased</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dalle Grave (2019)</td>
<td>Case series</td>
<td>n=49</td>
<td>Mean=15.5 years (SD=1.7, range 11–18 years)</td>
<td>Mean=5.67 (SD=7.30)</td>
<td>Yes, 20 weeks (n=29)</td>
<td>Mean BMI-for-age percentile 32.3 (SD=4.6) ITT analysis Significant improvement in eating-disorder and general psychopathology</td>
<td>Mean BMI-for-age percentile 30.5 (SD=2.6) ITT analysis Significant improvement in eating-disorder and general psychopathology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First author (year)</td>
<td>Study design</td>
<td>Sample</td>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Baseline BMI-for-age percentile</td>
<td>Follow-up</td>
<td>End of treatment outcome</td>
<td>Last follow-up outcome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Dalle Grave (2020) | Case series  | Adolescents: n=74 inpatients; n=63 completers  
Adults: n=81 inpatients; n=71 completers | Adolescents: Mean=16.5 years (SD=1.4, range 13–19 years)  
Adults: Mean=16.5 years (SD=1.4, range 13–19 years) | Adolescents: Mean=1.4 (SD=3.2)  
Adults: Mean BMI= 15.1 (SD=2.3) | Yes, 20 and 60 weeks  
20 weeks: adolescents n=46; adults n=53  
60 weeks: adolescents n=45; adults n=36 | Adolescents: Mean BMI-for-age percentile 32.1 (SE=2.0) ITT analysis n=46 (78.2%) displayed full response  
Adults: Mean BMI 19.9 (SE=0.1) ITT analysis n= 50 (70.4%) displayed full response | Adolescents: Mean BMI-for-age percentile 23.2 (SD=4.4) ITT analysis n=22 (48.9%) displayed full response  
Adults: Mean BMI 18.6 (SE=0.3) ITT analysis n= 20 (55.6%) displayed full response |
| Le Grange (2020)   | Non-randomized effectiveness trial | CBT-E: n=46 outpatients; n=29 completers  
FBT: n=51 outpatients; n=33 completers | Mean= 14.6 years (SD= 1.8, range 11–19) | CBT-E lower weight: % median BMI=3.50  
CBT-E higher weight: % median BMI=11.72  
FBT lower weight: % median BMI=3.97  
FBT higher weight: % median BMI=12.09 | Yes, 6 and 12 months  
6 months: CBT-E n=22; FBT n=20  
12 months: CBT-E n=16; FBT n=23 | CBT-E lower weight: % median BMI=94.4  
Significant improvement in eating-disorder and general psychopathology  
CBT-E higher weight: % median BMI= 108.3  
Significant improvement in eating-disorder and general psychopathology  
FBT lower weight: % median BMI= 96.8  
Significant improvement in eating-disorder and general psychopathology  
FBT higher weight: % median BMI= 112.6  
Significant improvement in eating-disorder and general psychopathology | CBT-E lower weight: % median BMI=96.4  
Significant improvement in eating-disorder and general psychopathology  
CBT-E higher weight: % median BMI=107.2  
Significant improvement in eating-disorder and general psychopathology  
FBT lower weight: % median BMI= 96.2  
Significant improvement in eating-disorder and general psychopathology  
FBT higher weight: % median BMI= 111.5  
Significant improvement in eating-disorder and general psychopathology |

BMI=Body mass index; ITT=intention-to-treat; SD=standard deviation; SE=standard error; lower weight=<90% median body mass index; higher weight=>90% median body mass index.

* Minimal residual eating-disorder psychopathology=Eating Disorder Examination Questionnaire global score < 1SD above the community mean (i.e., <2.77); ** BMI ≥ 18.5 kg/m² and Eating Disorder Examination Questionnaire global score < 1SD above the community mean (i.e., <2.77). ** Minimal residual eating-disorder psychopathology=Eating Disorder Examination global score < 1SD above the community mean (i.e., <1.74).
were excluded because they included only adult patients and a further two for using a generic form of CBT. Finally, three papers only showed outcome data for adolescent and adult patients combined (Figure 1). Thus, at the end of the screening process, eight papers were considered suitable for systematic review and narrative synthesis. According to the NICE guideline checklist, the quality of selected studies ranged from fair to good (mean score 5.87 points) (Table 2).

In 2013, Dalle Grave et al. (2013) evaluated the effectiveness of outpatient CBT-E in a cohort of 49 adolescent patients with anorexia nervosa consecutively referred to an eating disorder clinic. Only three patients (6.1%) declined to start CBT-E, and among the 46 who accepted the treatment, 29 (63%) completed it, and all of these participated in 60 weeks of follow-up. Intention-to-treat analysis (n=46) showed that the mean BMI-for-age percentile significantly increased from 2.86 (SD=3.35) at baseline to 19.8 (SD=19.2) at end of treatment and to 23.6 (SD=25.7) at 60-week follow-up. Moreover, 21.7% and 28.3% of patients gained sufficient weight from baseline to achieve 95% of their expected weight at the end of treatment and follow-up, respectively. Eating-disorder psychopathology (measured using the EDE-Q global score) decreased from 2.79 (SD=1.5) at baseline to 1.50 (SD=1.5) at the end of treatment and 1.51 (SD=1.6) at follow-up. Furthermore, 79.1% and 76.7% reached a global EDE-Q<1SD above the community mean at the end of treatment and follow-up, respectively. General psychiatric features also decreased significantly from baseline to the end of treatment and follow-up. As expected, results were better in the 29 patients who completed the treatment.

In 2014, Dalle Grave et al. (2014) evaluated the effectiveness of inpatient CBT-E in a sample of adolescents with anorexia nervosa at the end of treatment and at 6- and 12-month follow-up. Thirty-two patients were eligible and 27 agreed to participate in the study. All 27 patients except one completed the treatment, and 22 and 23, respectively, participated in the follow-up interviews conducted 6 and 12 months after discharge. Intention-to-treat analysis indicated a significant increase in BMI percentile from baseline
to end of treatment, from 2.7 (SD=4.3) to 34.2 (SD=15.7); at 6- and 12-month follow-ups, the BMI percentile was 27.3 (SD=20.8) and 29.9 (SD=20.1), respectively. Completer analysis indicated similar results, specifically a BMI percentile of 35.5 (SD=14.5) at end of treatment and 25.4 (SD=20.6) and 29.5 (SD=19.6) at 6- and 12-month follow-ups, respectively. Among completers, 96.2% achieved a BMI percentile corresponding to BMI $\geq 18.5$ at the end of treatment, and 82.6% had a BMI equivalent to $\geq 18.5$ at 12-month follow-up. Eating-disorder psychopathology was significantly decreased, with 38.5%, 59.1% and 60.9% showing minimal eating-disorder psychopathology (i.e., global EDE <1 SD above the community mean) at the end of treatment and the two follow-ups, respectively.

One-year later, Calugi et al. (2015) studied the same sample of adolescents with anorexia nervosa and compared the duration of treatment required to restore body weight with that of a sample of adults with anorexia nervosa. Two BMI thresholds were selected: $\geq 18.5$ and $\geq 19.5$ in those of 18 years and over, or the corresponding BMI-for-age percentile in those under 18. Among the adolescent sample, 65.3% reached a BMI $\geq 18.5$ or the corresponding BMI-for-age percentile in an estimated mean time of 14.8 days of treatment, whereas only 36.5% of the adult sample reached this cut-off ($p=0.003$) in an estimated time of 28.3 days ($p<0.001$). Moreover, 55.1% of adolescents and 32.6% of adults ($p=0.047$) reached a BMI $\geq 19.5$ or the corresponding BMI-for-age percentile in an estimated mean time of 25.1 days for adolescents and of 36.4 days for adults ($p<0.001$).

In the same year, Dalle Grave et al. (2015) published the first study assessing CBT-E in not-underweight adolescent outpatients. The sample comprised 68 not-underweight patients, 20 (29.4%) with bulimia nervosa, 14 (20.6%) with binge-eating disorder, and 34 (50.0%) with another presentation of eating disorder. Data were collected at baseline and end of treatment. Intention-to-treat analysis indicated significant improvements in eating-disorder and general psychopathology. Moreover, the frequency of binge eating, self-induced vomiting and laxative misuse decreased substantially, and half of those who were binge eating or purging at the beginning of treatment had ceased these behaviours altogether by the end of treatment. Furthermore, among completers, 82.4% met the criterion for having minimal residual eating-disorder psychopathology (i.e., global EDE-Q score below 1 SD above the community mean, 2.77), and of those who were binge eating or purging at the beginning of treatment, 76.5% had completely ceased these behaviours by the end.

Table 2. Evaluation of methodological quality of studies assessing cognitive behavioural therapy for eating disorders in adolescents with anorexia nervosa

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Case series collected in more than one centre, i.e., multicentric study</th>
<th>Is the hypothesis/aim/objective of the study clearly described?</th>
<th>Are the inclusion and exclusion criteria (case definition) clearly reported?</th>
<th>Is there a clear definition of the outcomes reported?</th>
<th>Were data collected prospectively?</th>
<th>Is there an explicit statement that patients were recruited consecutively?</th>
<th>Are the main findings of the study clearly described?</th>
<th>Are outcomes stratified? (e.g., by disease stage, abnormal test results, patient characteristics)</th>
<th>Total score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dalle Grave et al. (2013)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dalle Grave et al. (2014)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dalle Grave et al. (2015)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calugi et al. (2019)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calugi &amp; Dalle Grave (2019)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dalle Grave et al. (2020)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dalle Grave et al. (2020)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Le Grange et al. (2020)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NICE guidelines checklist; a total score of 0–3 indicates poor quality; between 4 and 6 fair quality; and ≥7 good quality.
In 2019, Calugi & Dalle Grave (2019) evaluated the influence of baseline measures of body-image concern on changes in BMI-for-age percentile and psychopathological outcomes associated with intensive CBT-E in 62 adolescents with anorexia nervosa for 12 months after discharge. The mean starting age of participants was 16.4 years (SD = 1.2), the mean baseline BMI-for-age percentile 1.8 (SD = 3.5), and the mean body weight 39.1 kg (SD = 5.6). Fifty-six patients (90.3%) completed the treatment and 47 (83.9%) and 46 (86.7%) patients participated in the follow-up interviews held 6 and 12 months after discharge, respectively. Considering treatment outcome as the percentage of patients who reached a BMI-for-age percentile corresponding to a normal weight cut-off (i.e., 18.5 kg/m²) at 18 years of age, authors found that 96.4% of completers at the end of treatment and 78.7% and 80.4% at 6- and 12-month follow-up, respectively, reached this cut-off. Moreover, eating-disorder and general psychopathology scores decreased significantly from baseline to end of treatment and continued to improve during follow-up. Eating-disorder and general psychopathology and clinical impairment scores also significantly improved from baseline to 60-week follow-up in both groups. Results were also similar in terms of ‘good BMI outcome’ (BMI ≥ 18.5), which was achieved by 93.7% (n = 59) of adolescent and 87.3% (n = 62) of adult completers, and ‘full response’ (BMI ≥ 18.5 and EDE-Q global score of <2.77), which was achieved by 76.2% (n = 48) of adolescents and 70.4% (n = 50) of adults at the end of treatment. At 20-week follow-up ‘good BMI outcome’ was seen in 82.6% (n = 38) of adolescents and 71.7% (n = 38) of adults, while at 60-week follow up it was seen in 62.2% (n = 28) of adolescents and 66.7% (n = 24) of adults. Thirty-three adolescents (71.7%) and 35 adults (66.0%) were classed as ‘full responders’ at 20-week follow-up, while 22 adolescents (48.9%) and 20 adults (55.6%) still showed a ‘full response’ at 60-week follow-up.

The study most recently published on this topic, by Le Grange et al. (2020), reports on a non-randomized trial comparing the relative effectiveness of family-based treatment and CBT-E in adolescents. The sample was divided into lower weight [<90% median BMI (mBMI)], and higher weight cohorts (≥90%mBMI). Among 107 eligible patients, 97 were enrolled, and 51 (52.5%) participants/families chose FBT, while 46 (47.5%) chose CBT-E. In the FBT arm, 33 (64.7%) completed the treatment, 20 (39.1%) of whom were assessed at 6-month follow-up and 23 (45.1%) at 12-month follow-up, as compared to 29 (63%) completers and 22 (47.8%) and 16 (34.8%) assessed at 6 months and 12 months, respectively in the CBT-E arm. The mean age of the entire sample was 14.6 years (SD = 1.8, range 90% mBMI). Among 107 eligible patients, 97 were enrolled, and 51 (52.5%) participants/families chose FBT, while 46 (47.5%) chose CBT-E. In the FBT arm, 33 (64.7%) completed the treatment, 20 (39.1%) of whom were assessed at 6-month follow-up and 23 (45.1%) at 12-month follow-up, as compared to 29 (63%) completers and 22 (47.8%) and 16 (34.8%) assessed at 6 months and 12 months, respectively in the CBT-E arm. The mean age of the entire sample was 14.6 years (SD = 1.8, range...
11–19) and the mean duration of illness was 17.0 months (SD = 18.3, range 1–84). In the lower weight cohort, the mean percentage mBMI (n=37) was 83.6% (SD = 4.1, median = 83.4, range = 74.8–89.8), while it was 103.7 (S.D. = 11.9, median = 101.21, range = 90.3–142.1) in the higher weight cohort. In both cohorts (lower weight and higher weight), weight gain was significantly higher at the end of FBT as compared to CBT-E, but at 6- and 12-month follow-ups the two treatments were comparable in terms of weight gain. No differences in eating-disorder or general psychopathology or clinical impairment scores were found between FBT and CBT-E at any time point.

**Discussion**

**Summary of Findings**

The current systematic review supports the effectiveness of CBT-E as a promising treatment for adolescents with eating disorders. Two clinical series (Dalle Grave et al., 2013; Dalle Grave, Sartirana, et al., 2019) assessing the effectiveness of outpatient CBT-E for adolescents with anorexia nervosa found that the treatment is well accepted and completed by about two-thirds of adolescent patients. The treatment was associated with a significant increase in BMI-for-age percentile and significant improvements in eating-disorder and general psychopathology scores. These improvements were maintained at 20- and 60-week follow-ups. Among completers, about 50% of patients had achieved complete remission from anorexia nervosa at follow-up.

CBT-E seems to be more effective for adolescents than adults with anorexia nervosa. The only study to compare the effectiveness of CBT-E in these groups found that a significantly higher percentage of adolescents than adults achieved normal weight at the end of the treatment (65.3% and 36.5%, respectively). Adolescents also required a shorter estimated mean time to achieve normal weight (Calugi et al., 2015).

CBT-E seems particularly effective when it is delivered to adolescents with anorexia nervosa in an inpatient setting, generally used to treat the most severe and medically unstable patients. Indeed, all three case series (Dalle Grave et al., 2014; Dalle Grave, Conti, et al., 2020; Dalle Grave, Sartirana, et al., 2019) assessing the effectiveness of inpatient CBT-E found that the treatment is well accepted and completed by about 90% of such patients. Like outpatient CBT-E, inpatient CBT-E is associated with a significant increase in BMI-for-age percentile and significant improvements in eating-disorder and general psychopathology scores, which appear to be maintained at follow-up. Even in this group of severely ill patients, 80% had reached normal weight and roughly 50% complete remission of anorexia nervosa at one year. Unlike that observed with outpatient CBT-E (Calugi et al., 2015), however, adolescents and adults with anorexia nervosa treated with inpatient CBT-E showed similar outcomes at both the end of treatment and follow-up (Dalle Grave, Conti, et al., 2020).

The data on the effectiveness of CBT-E for not-underweight adolescent outpatients is limited. However, one study assessing the effectiveness of outpatient CBT-E in not-underweight adolescent patients with bulimia nervosa, binge-eating disorder or other eating disorders showed that about three-quarters completed the treatment, and about half of those who were binge eating or purging at the beginning of treatment had ceased these behaviours by the end of treatment (Dalle Grave et al., 2015). Among completers, more than 80% had minimal residual eating-disorder psychopathology at the end of treatment. Unfortunately, no follow-up data is available.

Only one study has thus far compared CBT-E for adolescents with eating disorders with another form of treatment. That study was a non-randomized trial comparing the relative effectiveness of CBT-E and FBT in adolescents, who were assigned to treatment groups on the basis of preference and divided into a lower weight cohort and a higher weight cohort (Le Grange et al., 2020). FBT was more effective than CBT-E in terms of weight gain (measured on a slope) from baseline to the end of treatment. However, there were no appreciable differences between the two treatments at either 6- or 12-month follow-ups. Moreover, no difference was found between FBT and CBT-E in terms of eating-disorder and general psychopathology or clinical impairment scores at any time points. The slower initial weight gains achieved by CBT-E recipients as compared to FBT may be ascribable to the strategies used by the two treatments to achieve weight gain. In fact, in CBT-E weight gain (when indicated) is not addressed until 4 weeks into the treatment, and only when patients conclude that they need to tackle their low weight. In contrast, weight gain (when indicated) is addressed by FBT at the outset, driven by parental support and encouragement. An interesting piece of information to emerge from this study was that older and less well participants opted for CBT-E rather than FBT. This led the authors to speculate that parents seem to consider individual rather than family-based therapy to be more appropriate when their offspring are older and more unwell.

This systematic review of eight studies supports the effectiveness of CBT-E for adolescents with anorexia ner-
The treatment is well accepted by adolescent patients and their parents and yields promising results both in terms of weight gain and improvements in eating-disorder and general psychology, with about half of the patients achieving full remission from anorexia nervosa 12 months after completing the treatment. These results give further support to the NICE guidelines recommending CBT-E for eating disorders as the most valid alternative to FBT when the latter is unacceptable, contraindicated, or ineffective (National Guideline Alliance, 2017). CBT-E in an inpatient setting also seems particularly promising for adolescents with anorexia nervosa who are admitted due to their severe medical and/or mental conditions or lack of response to outpatient treatments. More studies are, however, necessary before drawing any conclusions about the effectiveness of CBT-E for not-underweight adolescent patients with eating disorders.

Summary of Limitations

These findings should be interpreted with caution, bearing in mind the following limitations. First and foremost, all the eight studies included in the review had a non-controlled design. They are therefore unable to meaningfully assess whether CBT-E for adolescent patients with anorexia nervosa and other eating disorders is more effective than other available treatments or no treatment. Although CBT-E yielded similar outcomes to FBT in the only non-randomized effectiveness trial that compared the two (Le Grange et al., 2020), the relative efficacy of CBT-E in comparison with FBT remains an empirical question that should be assessed in future randomized controlled trials. Second, although several studies had a follow-up of about 12 months (Calugi & Dalle Grave, 2019; Dalle Grave et al., 2013; Dalle Grave et al., 2014; Dalle Grave, Conti, et al., 2020; Dalle Grave, Sartirana, et al., 2019), data from a longer follow-up period would be necessary to determine the stability of changes in the long term. Third, the number of studies was relatively small for many of the comparisons and subgroup analyses to suggest robust conclusions. Fourth, with the exclusion of the Le Grange et al. (2020) study, the other studies included in the review were performed by a single eating-disorder clinical service operating in a real-world setting, which was also involved in the development of CBT-E for adolescents. Larger multi-site studies will be needed to confirm that the effects of CBT-E for adolescents are replicable.

Conclusions and Areas for Future Research

The results of this systematic review suggest that CBT-E is a promising treatment for adolescents with an eating disorder, and there is now a compelling and urgent case for comparing CBT-E and FBT in randomized controlled trials. Variables of interests would be the acceptability of the two treatments, their efficacy, and their ability to produce lasting change and remission. It will be also important to evaluate the relative cost-effectiveness of the two treatments. Moreover, it would be of theoretical interest and practical importance to assess whether there are moderators of treatment outcome that might allow the matching of patients to CBT-E or FBT. This is not implausible, given that CBT-E and FBT are based on different conceptualizations, different levels of parental involvement, different strategies and procedures adopted to produce the change, and different postulated mechanisms of their action (Dalle Grave, Eckhardt, et al., 2019). The nature of the two treatments suggests that FBT might be more appropriate for younger adolescents, while CBT-E, being designed to address the cognitive and behavioural processes maintaining the eating-disorder psychopathology, might be more useful for older adolescents able to ‘take charge’ of these processes (Dalle Grave & Calugi, 2020). This supposition is indirectly supported by findings from the only non-randomized effectiveness trial comparing FBT and CBT-E to date, in which the older, less well patients (or their parents) chose CBT-E, but this too remains to be confirmed.

Footnotes
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